

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

Linear Algebra and its Applications 429 (2008) 290–292

**LINEAR ALGEBRA
AND ITS
APPLICATIONS**

www.elsevier.com/locate/laa

On the similarity of dual operators

Alexandru Tupan

Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin – River Falls, River Falls, WI 54022, USA

Received 15 February 2008; accepted 21 February 2008

Available online 8 April 2008

Submitted by R.A. Horn

Abstract

Let k be a field with an involution σ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times W \rightarrow k$ a non-degenerate sesquilinear form, where V, W are n -dimensional k -spaces. Assume that $A \in \text{End}(V)$ and $A^* \in \text{End}(W)$ are dual operators. We show that if A and A^* are similar, then $A^* = \phi A \phi^{-1}$, where $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ is Hermitian.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

AMS classification: 11E39

Keywords: Dual operator; Hermitian operator; Sesquilinear form

1. Introduction

Let k be a field with an involution $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(k)$ (σ can be trivial). The action of σ will be denoted exponentially. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times W \rightarrow k$ be a non-degenerate sesquilinear form, where V, W are n -dimensional k -spaces. A linear map $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ is *Hermitian* if $\langle v_1, \phi v_2 \rangle = \langle v_2, \phi v_1 \rangle^\sigma$ for all $v_1, v_2 \in V$. Assume that $A \in \text{End}(V)$ and $A^* \in \text{End}(W)$ are dual operators. As usual, $k[X]$ denotes the polynomial ring in one variable. Throughout this note, all polynomials are normalized to have leading coefficient 1. Our purpose is to prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *If A and A^* are similar operators, then there exists a Hermitian operator $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ such that $A^* = \phi A \phi^{-1}$.*

An incomplete proof of Theorem 1 appeared in [1]. To be precise, the proof given in [1] starts with the simplifying assumption that the problem can be reduced to cyclic spaces, the rest of the

E-mail address: alexandru.tupan@uwrf.edu

paper being devoted to proving Theorem 1 for such spaces (see Lemmas 1, 2 of [1]). We will show below that the reductive assumption is actually the key part of the argument and needs to be explained carefully. Once this is done and V, W are reduced to cyclic spaces, Theorem 1 follows easily. In particular, the proofs of Lemmas 1, 2 of [1] can be replaced by some short observations.

Our result extends work from [1,2,4]. Also, it is worth noting that Theorem 1 generalizes a classical fact about complex matrices (see [3, Theorem 4.1.7]).

2. Proof of Theorem 1

We regard V, W as $k[X]$ -modules, where multiplication by X is given by the action of A , respectively A^* . An operator $\phi : V \rightarrow W$ satisfying $\phi A = A^* \phi$ is the same as a $k[X]$ -morphism between these modules. By duality, we have $\langle Pv, w \rangle = \langle v, P^\sigma w \rangle$ for all $(v, w) \in V \times W$ and $P \in k[X]$. Let P_A be the minimal polynomial of A . By duality again, P_A^σ is the minimal polynomial of A^* . But A and A^* are similar, so $P_A^\sigma = P_A$. The space V splits as a direct sum of modules

$$V_P = \{v \in V : P^\ell v = 0 \text{ for some } \ell > 0\},$$

where P runs through the prime factors of P_A . A similar fact is true for W . The construction of ϕ reduces to corresponding primary components by using the following observation. If $P \neq Q$ are prime factors of P_A , then $V_P \perp W_{Q^\sigma}$. Indeed, if $v \in V_P$ and $w \in W_{Q^\sigma}$, then

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \langle RP^\ell v, w \rangle + \langle Sv, (Q^\sigma)^\ell w \rangle = 0,$$

where ℓ is chosen so that $P^\ell v = (Q^\sigma)^\ell w = 0$ and $R, S \in k[X]$ satisfy $RP^\ell + SQ^\ell = 1$. Therefore we may assume that $P \in k[X]$ is prime and one of the following is true.

Case 1. $P = P^\sigma$ and V, W are P -primary.

Case 2. $P \neq P^\sigma$ and $V = V_0 \oplus \overline{V_0}$, $W = W_0 \oplus \overline{W_0}$, where V_0, W_0 are P -primary and $\overline{V_0}, \overline{W_0}$ are P^σ -primary.

In Case 1 we reduce V, W to cyclic components as follows. Choose $v \in V$ with $\text{Ann}(v) = (P^\ell)$ and ℓ maximal. By non-degeneracy, there is $w \in W$ such that $\langle P^{\ell-1}v, w \rangle = 1$. Then $P^{\ell-1}w \neq 0$, so $k[X]v$ and $k[X]w$ are equal dimensional spaces. We show that

$$V = k[X]v \oplus V', \quad W = k[X]w \oplus W', \quad (1)$$

where $V' = (k[X]w)^\perp$ and $W' = (k[X]v)^\perp$. Indeed, if $0 \neq v' \in k[X]v$, then $v' = P^\alpha Qv$, where $Q \in k[X]$ is coprime to P and $0 \leq \alpha < \ell$, so

$$\langle v', P^{\ell-\alpha-1}R^\sigma w \rangle = \langle P^{\ell-1}v, w \rangle \neq 0,$$

where R is an inverse of $Q \bmod P^\ell$. Thus $k[X]v \cap V' = (0)$. The k -dimension of V' is at least $\dim_k V - \dim_k k[X]v$, so $V = k[X]v \oplus V'$. The argument for W is similar. Now we can continue inductively, replacing the pair (V, W) by (V', W') .

In Case 2 we reduce V, W to cyclic components in a similar way. Choose $v \in V_0$ with $\text{Ann}(v) = (P^\ell)$ and ℓ maximal. Then choose $\overline{w} \in \overline{W_0}$ with $\langle P^{\ell-1}v, \overline{w} \rangle = 1$. Analogously, choose $\overline{v} \in \overline{V_0}$ and $w \in W_0$ with $\langle (P^\sigma)^{\ell-1}\overline{v}, w \rangle = 1$. We saw before that $V_0 \perp W_0$ and $\overline{V_0} \perp \overline{W_0}$, so as in 1 we have decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 \oplus \overline{V_0} &= (k[X]v \oplus V'_0) \oplus (k[X]\overline{v} \oplus \overline{V'_0}), \\ W_0 \oplus \overline{W_0} &= (k[X]w \oplus W'_0) \oplus (k[X]\overline{w} \oplus \overline{W'_0}), \end{aligned}$$

where $V'_0 = V_0 \cap (k[X]\overline{w})^\perp$ and $\overline{V'_0}, W'_0, \overline{W'_0}$ are defined similarly. Continue inductively, using the same argument for $V'_0 \oplus \overline{V'_0}$ and $W'_0 \oplus \overline{W'_0}$.

Finally, we build ϕ for the cyclic subspaces resulting from Cases 1, 2 as follows (note that these are alternative proofs for Lemmas 1, 2 of [1]).

In Case 1, we define $\phi : k[X]v \rightarrow k[X]w$ by $\phi(X^i v) = X^i w$. The map ϕ is Hermitian if and only if $\langle X^i v, w \rangle = \langle X^i v, w \rangle^\sigma$ for all i . This is automatic if $\sigma = 1$. If $\sigma \neq 1$, by the duality of $k[X]v$ and $k[X]w$ we find $w' \in k[X]w$ with the property

$$\langle X^i v, w' \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = \deg P^{\ell-1}, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq \deg P^{\ell-1}, \end{cases} \quad 0 \leq i < \deg P^\ell.$$

Then $\langle P^{\ell-1}v, w' \rangle = 1$ and we can replace w by w' to get ϕ Hermitian.

In Case 2, we define

$$\phi : k[X]v \oplus k[X]\overline{v} \rightarrow k[X]w \oplus k[X]\overline{w}, \quad \phi(X^i v + X^j \overline{v}) = X^i w + X^j \overline{w}.$$

The map ϕ is Hermitian if and only if $\langle X^i v, \overline{w} \rangle = \langle X^i \overline{v}, w \rangle^\sigma$ for all i . This can be accomplished by correctly choosing w, \overline{w} , using the duality $k[X]v$ and $k[X]\overline{w}$, respectively $k[X]w$ and $k[X]\overline{v}$, as in Case 1. Our proof is complete.

References

- [1] D.Ž. Doković, On the similarity between a linear transformation and its adjoint, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 6 (1973) 155–158.
- [2] J.W. Duke, A note on the similarity of a matrix and its transpose conjugate, *Pacific J. Math.* 81 (1969) 321–323.
- [3] R.A. Horn, C.R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [4] O. Taussky, H. Zassenhaus, On the similarity transformations between a matrix and its transpose, *Pacific J. Math.* 9 (1959) 893–896.